Case: 4:19-cr-00151-JAR Doc. #: 29 Filed: 05/08/19 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 47

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)
v.	
CHELLEN DODING) Case No. 4:19CR00151 JAR/JMB
SHELLEN ROBINS, Defendant	- ')
ORDER OF DETE	NTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eliş	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
	wn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
•	ion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable onditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ons have been met:
	e following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximu	am term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
·	. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 05 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been	n convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
	wo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses h (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal

- (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
 (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
- § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; *and*
- (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*
- (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:

Case: 4:19-cr-00151-JAR Doc. #: 29 Filed: 05/08/19 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 48

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial
---------------------	--------------------	---------------

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of t defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant	
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	-
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	ars
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	ı of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is	
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
∑ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heart the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hears the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Solution Solution	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: \[\textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. \[\textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: \[\textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \] \[\text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \]	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re

Case: 4:19-cr-00151-JAR Doc. #: 29 Filed: 05/08/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 49 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The Pretrial Services Report that was filed in this case on February 11, 2019 is incorporated here by reference. On February 11, 2019, defendant appeared at her detention hearing with counsel and she argued for a bond. She has stable housing with her mother. She has worked for ten years and she pays child support to the father of the alleged victim, who is defendant's daughter. She has no prior convictions and no history of substance abuse. Defendant would agree to supervised visitation with the victim. Defendant's boyfriend, who is alleged to be implicated in this offense, is in state custody. The government argued that defendant is both a risk of flight and a danger to another person and the community. Defendant's arrest history includes a prior allegation of physical abuse regarding the victim. The allegations in the federal complaint are quite serious. Numerous nude images of the defendant's daughter were captured on defendant's cell phone and six of those images involve a lascivious display. The government alleges that defendant attempted to destroy evidence. If convicted, defendant faces a mandatory minimum sentence of 15 years and this gives her an incentive to flee. Undersigned agrees that defendant poses a risk of danger to the community and a risk of flight. For these reasons, defendant should be detained. **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 05/08/2019 /s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge